

Q&A No.	Final RFP Section	Subject/Title	Industry Comment/Question	DOE Response
67	C.5.4	DUF4 Operations	There is insufficient information given for Offerors to estimate costs necessary to complete design and construction of the DUF4 Conversion Line. Will DOE provide plug numbers for the Offeror to use in the cost estimate for the UF4 workscopes? Alternatively, this scope could move into C.10 as a future IDIQ Task Order.	The DUF4 scope in C.5.4.1 has been removed via Amendment 0002 and DUF4 has been added to C.10, Additional Assignments under the IDIQ CLINs.
68	C.3.5.q  C.3.5.r	Security - Incidents of Security Concern  Security - Physical Security	This section requires the contractor to "(1) Comply with the ISS procedures and processes." Would DOE please provide a copy of these reference procedures and processes? It is unclear to which procedures and processes this statement refers.  This section states, "The Contractor shall comply with ISS Contractor security plans/requirements..." Will DOE please provide a copy of the ISS Contractor security plans/requirements to which this statement refers?	The program, procedures, and processes are compliant with DOE Order 470.4B, Chg 3 (LtdChg), Safeguards and Security Program, Attachment 4, Incidents of Security Concern.  Applicable security plans are included in the CUI files.
69	Basis of Estimate and L.17	Escalation	DOE included an escalation rate of 2.8% in the BOE Data Report-28JAN2022-0459PM Rev 1 from DOE 4-18. Although L.17(j)(12) Escalation states: "The Offeror shall propose a table of proposed escalation rate or escalation rates..." Will DOE mandate an escalation rate to be used by all bidders to use for consistency in evaluation?	No. The Final RFP does not include an escalation rate to be used by all offerors.
70	M.5	Cost and Fee	This section provides a methodology for determining the total evaluated price. As this is primarily a cost reimbursable contract, it appears that DOE is evaluating a total calculated cost. Would DOE please clarify the use of the term "price" in a cost reimbursable contract model?	For purposes of determining the best value, the total evaluated price will be calculated by combining the most probable cost for CLINs 00001, 00101, 00102, 00103, 00201, 00202, 00203, 00301, 00302, and 00303, the total proposed award fee proposed in Table B.3-1 (not to exceed maximum award fee of 10 percent specified in Section L), and the IDIQ CLINs (00104, 00204, and 00304) maximum value of \$100,000,000.00.

71	L-13	Proposal Preparation Instructions, Volume II – Technical and Management Proposal	Section L-13 states, "The Technical and Management Proposal will be evaluated in accordance with the evaluation factors stated in Section M.3 entitled, Evaluation Factor 2 – Technical and Management Approach." However, Section M contains sections M.2 entitled "Evaluation Factor 1 - Key Personnel" and M.4 entitled "Evaluation Factor 3 - Past Performance" that seem to be evaluation factors for the Technical and Management Proposal. Would DOE please clarify this apparent conflict in requirements?	Section L.13 should have stated "will be evaluated in accordance with the evaluation factors stated in Section M. The Final RFP will be revised via Amendment 0002.  Section M is correct; Key Personnel, Technical and Management Approach, and Past Performance are all evaluation factors under Volume II, Technical and Management Proposal.
72	H.25(a)(b)	Disposition of Intellectual Property – Failure to Complete Contract Performance (Jul 2018)	<p>"The following provisions shall apply in the event the Contractor does not complete Contract performance for any reason :</p> <p>(a) The Government may take possession of and use all technical data, including limited rights data, restricted computer software, and data and software obtained from subcontractors, licensors, and licensees, necessary to complete the work in conformance with this contract, including the right to use the data in any Government solicitations for the completion of the work contemplated under this contract." Would DOE consider replacing "for any reason" with terms that show breach or criminal neglect? We believe as written, the current terminology is too encompassing and doesn't take into account circumstances such as force majeure. It also provides the potential for seizing IP that is broadly used in commercial environments.</p> <p>"(b) The Contractor agrees to and does hereby grant to the Government an irrevocable, non-exclusive, paid-up license." Would DOE consider including language that excludes IP that is commercially used or developed outside of this contract?</p>	Not at this time.
73	C.3.3.1	Performance Measurement System	In Section C.3.3.1 Performance Measurement System, the Solicitation states "The Contractor shall provide all software it may need to perform accounting, business, and project controls functions under this Contract." What software tools/systems are used by the incumbent Contractor today for these functions, and which, if any, will be made available for use by the successor Contractor? More broadly, please identify the software tools/systems used by the incumbent Contractor to meet the requirements for each contractor business system described in Section H.15 DOE-H-2022 Contractor Business Systems, and disclose whether the successor Contractor may adopt these existing software tools/systems.	This clause does not imply the existing Contractor business systems will be available for adoption. The Offeror shall comply with the requirements of the RFP and has the ability, if it so chooses and without Government intervention, to adopt an existing system from the incumbent Contractor.

74	B.3	Available Award Fee	In Section B.3 Contract Cost (4), Award Fee and Price Schedule DOE originally said "Available Award Fee is made up as 15% award-fee criteria and 85% PBIs." This language has been removed from the Final RFP and replaced with TBD percentages. To highlight the true value in production, please add the language from the Draft RFP back to the Final RFP.	No change required. The specific percentages will be determined at a later date.
75	C.3.4.2.3	Property Information Database System	In Section C.3.4.2.3, the Solicitation states, "The Contractor shall manage and track the location and movement of all hardware and software DOE using the Contractor's inventory system..." Please provide clarity on whether the current system is owned by the DOE or by the contractor.	It is owned by the contractor. Each contractor has their own system. Some use excel worksheets, create their own database or buy a program.
76	C.3.9.1.2	Privacy Act records	Please update Section C.3.9.1.2 to allow co-ownership over the Privacy Act records due to the contractors needs for future benefit requests and compensation claims from employees.	It is assumed that many of the privacy act systems of records (e.g., medical and personnel records) would be managed both from a contractor standpoint as well as DOE, but not all.
77	Attachment J-8 c.7.3 and Section C.7.3	Characterization Data and PORTS Legacy Waste	To assist bidders in developing their BOEs, please identify which Lots constitute the 179 containers identified as X-744G in the first row of Table C.7.3 Legacy Waste Material.	Table C.7.3 of the PWS is revised via Amendment 0002 to reflect the correct container count to 398 and volume to approximately 11,000 ft <sup>3</sup> . The containers that account for the items listed in Table C.7.3 for X-744G are identified as Scrap and Lot 11.
78	L.10(f)(6)	File Format	The RFP states "Files submitted shall be readable and searchable using Microsoft® Word®, Excel®, or Adobe® portable document format file (PDF) (must be in a searchable format, not scanned) except for scanned Volume I signed documents and the following specific Volume III files...". Please modify the exceptions to include signed Volume II files as well such as the Key Personnel Letter of Commitment and Past Performance Consent Statement.	The Final RFP has been revised via Amendment 0002 to add the exceptions as requested to L.10, DOE-L-2001 Proposal Preparation Instructions – General (Oct 2015) Alternate I and Alternate II (Oct 2015) (Revised).
79	N/A	Document Library	Please provide a copy of the DEAC3010CC40017, Section J - Attachment 10, Small Business Subcontracting Plan and Small Disadvantaged Business Participation Program Targets and Form. The current plan is 'incorporated by reference' and not provided publicly.	DOE cannot provide a copy.

80	H.5(d)(1)	Pay and Benefits Programs	In H.5(d)(1)(ii), the Contractor is directed to provide benefits to Portsmouth and Paducah Incumbent Employees comparable to the MCS Incumbent Contractor benefits. The reference paragraph states Portsmouth and Paducah Incumbent Employees are to remain in their existing pension plans. We recommend adding a clarifying statement regarding pension plans in the two respective buckets, ETPP MEPP plans and commercially available plans. That could be, '... existing pension plans (ETPP MEPP plans only).'	There are multiple pension plans that incumbent employees at Portsmouth and Paducah participate in. The Offeror cannot limit it to just the ORRCC MEPP (formerly ETPP MEPP) plans only.
81			Reserved	
82	Document Library	System Health procedure and the System Health Program Plan	Please provide the complete System Health procedure and the System Health Program Plan.	The DUF6 system health procedure has been uploaded to the document library.
83	C.5.1	UF6 Operations, Maintenance and Repair	Please provide the current incumbent contractor's system health reports for all DUF6 plant components at Paducah and Portsmouth to allow Offerors to assess the current plant conditions and past trends.	See Q&A 82.
84	C.3.8.2 and Attachment J-5	Personal property and excess property disposition	Section C.3.8.2 states that the Contractor shall be responsible for final disposition of all excess personal property identified by all contractors at PORTS. This requirement is not part of the Attachment J-15 Service 0013 scope. Please clarify if this requirement needs to be part of Attachment J-5, Service 0013	Sections C.3.8.2 and Section J, Attachment J-5 (Service 0013) are revised via Amendment 0002 to clarify responsibility for excess or transfer of personal property.
85	H.5	Summary Plan Descriptions and employee population composition	Can you provide Summary Plan Descriptions (SPDs) for all current pension and post retirement benefit (PRB) plans?  What is the current employee population composition (represented, Service Contract Act (SCA), exempt)?	These were posted to the Document Library on the procurement website on 6/21/22.  DUF6 Employee Population as of June 1st, 2022 Ports - 81 Salaried; 119 represented Pad - 97 Salaried; 107 represented Lex - 18 Salaried; 0 represented
86	H.52(c)	Parent Organization Support	For consistency, flexibility and partnering, please utilize the language cited in the same clause from the Portsmouth D&D RFP, H.53(c)...“The CO may, with unilateral discretion, authorize parent organization support, and the corresponding indirect or direct costs, if a direct-benefit relationship to DOE is demonstrated. All parent organization support shall be authorized in advance by the CO.”	The language is revised to be consistent with the Ports D&D RFP language via Amendment 0002.

87	Section J Work Breakdown Structure	Clarification on the Work Breakdown Structure and how cost will roll up into the L-6 and L-7 tables.	Per DOE's WBS on J12-7, WBS element EM.PO.0040 covers both the PORTS Nuclear D&D (Ops) and PORTS Waste Operations. The level 4 WBS elements cover these same elements - PORTS Nuclear D&D (Ops) (subPBS .004001) and PORTS Waste Operations (subPBS .004002). As currently structured, the PORTS Nuclear D&D and PORTS Waste Operations will combine into a single line on the L-6 and L-7 tables for WBS EM.PO.0040. The question – does that match DOE's expectation or is there an expectation that these should be two separate line items? If the single line matches DOE's expectation – what is the preferred heading for that line item? If they should be two separate line items – what is the preferred level 3 designation for each item, as they cannot both be EM.PO.0040?	The Attachment L-6 has the appropriate WBS that is shown on each tab. The BOE information then goes to the L-7 Table. For Attachment L-6, the WBS associated with each BOE that needs costed is shown on Row 2 of each tab. The J-12 Bubble Chart (page J12-7) will be updated. Both WBS EM.PO.0040.004001 and WBS EM.PO.0040.004002 go to WBS EM.PO.0040. The title for WBS EM.PO.0040 will be Ports Nuclear Facility D&D (Ops).
88	C.5.3.7.3 and C.7.4	Uranium Oxide/Cylinder Heels	The PWS states that UOx declared waste shall be packaged, certified, transported and disposed. It is our understanding that UOx is not currently declared waste and is not currently disposed. For purposes of developing cost estimates for BOEs 41 and 42, what assumptions should bidders make regarding when (and if) UOx will be considered waste and require disposal?	See Q&A 4. Section C.5.3.7.3 has been revised to move waste requirements and TRU waste reference to C.7, Waste Operations via Amendment 0002. Section C.7.4 is disposition of oxide that is not in a cylinder or that is planned to be used for bed uploading. This oxide is a waste. Section C.8 is oxide in cylinders that will be dispositioned once declared a waste per CO direction.
89	H.7; H.9	H.7 Workforce Transition and Benefits Transition / H.9 Labor Relations	Would DOE provide details on the site personnel demographics such as the total number of incumbent employees and total number of union employees? We reviewed the CBAs provided in the document library and did not see a head count for each site.	DUF6 Employee Population as of June 1st, 2022 Ports 81 salaried; 119 represented Pad 97 salaried; 107 represented Lex 18 salaried; 0 represented
90	Attachments L-6 and L-7	Escalation	A place to provide escalation is not addressed in the L-6 and L-7 formats. Can Offerors modify the formats as necessary to address escalation and impacts on rates in the outyears? Additionally, should the Offerors use the escalation rates provided in the BOEs?	No, the spreadsheets cannot be modified. The outyear costs should include escalation.  See Q&A #69.

91	Attachment L-6	Flat File Size	The size of Attachment L-6, and in particular, the Flat File, will likely exceed size limitations when uploading to FedConnect. Please confirm that it is acceptable to provide the Flat File tab in separate files as necessary to support electronic submittal of the data.	<p>It is not expected that the Flat File be broken up.</p> <p>The size limit of files that can be sent through FedConnect is 25 MB per individual file, or 100 MB per response or message.</p> <p>For example, a user cannot submit a response that contains an attachment 40 MB in size, however they can submit a response that contains two attachments each at 20 MB in size. In addition, the user would not be able to submit a response that contains 6 attachments each at 20MB in size, as that would exceed the 100 MB submission limit.</p>
93	Table B.2-1	PWS C.3 Clarification	C.3 is currently indicated as a PWS section to be distributed between the Base Operations and Services CLINs and Defense Operations CLINs. Please clarify what type of ratio Offerors should use when distributing proposed PWS C.3 costs between these CLIN types for each contract period..	Refer to L-17(j)(4). The Offerors are responsible for distributing the cost for their C.3 support activities as part of their proposal; and under the field activities they support.
94	C.4.9 and Attachment J-5	Radiological Site Services	Section C.4.9 states that the Contractor shall provide radiological services to other site contractors in the areas of equipment maintenance, personnel radiological monitoring and records management. This requirement seems to be missing from Attachment J-5. Please clarify if it is supposed to be included in J-5.	Section C.4.9 is revised via Amendment 0002 to be PORTS (Only) Dosimetry Services and to remove C.4.9.1 and C.4.9.2.
95	C.3.9.1.1	Records Management System	In Section C.3.9.1.1, the Solicitation references the Paducah Electronic Records Management System. Please provide clarity on whether Portsmouth owns a separate records management system, or whether it is incorporated in the Paducah system.	It is owned by the contractor. Each contractor has their own system. Some use excel worksheets, create their own database or buy a program.
96	C.5.4.1 and BOE 29	DUF4 Installation	The RFP and document library (BOE 29) provided by the government does not identify the DUF4 level of completeness of the contract work at transition. This could result in differing assumptions used by offerors, which may yield inconsistent evaluations. Please provide the DUF4 installation cost and schedule as an assumption.	The DUF4 scope in C.5.4.1 has been removed via Amendment 0002 and DUF4 has been added to C.10, Additional Assignments under the IDIQ CLINs.

97	C.5.4.1 and Attachment L-8	DUF4 Design	In C.5.4.1 - Production Line Installation, the RFP states "The Contractor shall complete the construction, readiness assessment, hot functional testing, commissioning and initial 120-day startup phase of the DUF4 production line at the PORTS DUF6 Facility to include associated facilities." However, in Attachment L-8 Assumptions, DOE has provided the assumption that "The Offeror shall assume the DUF4 project will be in the design phase and the DUF4 line installation work has not started." Recommend that C.5.4.1 be amended to include the scope of work to continue the design of the DUF4 project.	The DUF4 scope in C.5.4.1 has been removed via Amendment 0002 and DUF4 has been added to C.10, Additional Assignments under the IDIQ CLINs. The DUF4 assumption in Section L, Attachment L-8 has been deleted as well.
98	C.2.1(m) and C.5.4	DUF4 Operations Status	DOE indicates that DUF4 will be in the design phase and line installation will not have started at contract transition. Since this is a DOE O. 413.3 Capital Asset Project, please provide the currently approved planning basis and status associated with scope, cost and schedule for design, build and commissioning in order to support determination of the cost and schedule that Offerors should use for development of Vol III.	The DUF4 scope in C.5.4.1 has been removed via Amendment 0002 and DUF4 has been added to C.10, Additional Assignments under the IDIQ CLINs. The DUF4 assumption in Section L, Attachment L-8 has been deleted as well.
99	NNSA/DOE review in DOE Order 413.3B  PWS C.5.4 DUF4 Operations	Additional information needed to comply with DOE Order 413.3B	The requirements and levels of NNSA/DOE review in DOE Order 413.3B are linked to Total Project Cost (TPC). To support the cost estimate for developing the DUF4 Completion Plan, please provide: - Current TPC estimate - Status of CD-2/3 package development, submittal, or approval - Copy of the approved Preliminary Project Execution Plan (PPEP) or the Project Execution Plan (PEP)	The DUF4 scope in C.5.4.1 has been removed via Amendment 0002 and DUF4 has been added to C.10, Additional Assignments under the IDIQ CLINs.
100	C.5.4.1	Production Line Installation	This section requests a plan for UF4 completion, but does not require a section on design completion. Is this work currently being performed by a subcontractor? If so, will DOE provide the status and detailed information of the current subcontract?	Question is overtaken by events. See Q&A 96.
101	C.5.4.1	Production Line Installation	This section states, "The Contractor shall complete the construction." Is this work placed with a subcontractor? If so, will DOE provide a copy of the subcontract? If not, what is the status of any subcontracting actions? When is this subcontractor expected to be placed? Will it be in place at the time of the start of transition?	Question is overtaken by events. See Q&A 96.
102	C.5.4.2	Production	This section states, "The Contractor shall sample and analyze the product to ensure compliance with NNSA material specifications." To what specifications is this referring? Would DOE provide the NNSA material specifications, quality standards, and requirements?	Question is overtaken by events. See Q&A 96.

103	C.5.4	DUF4 Operations	The library, RFP and government-provided BOEs do not have details on the design and plans for integration of the DUF4 components/system into the current plant/system. However, there is a requirement to provide details (e.g., costing) for operation of the system and handling/dispositioning waste generated. Will DOE consider moving this activity to the IDIQ CLIN? If not, we request DOE please provide the current system design and operations plans.	The DUF4 scope in C.5.4.1 has been removed via Amendment 0002 and DUF4 has been added to C.10, Additional Assignments under the IDIQ CLINs.
104	C.5.4	DUF4 Operations	This sections states, "The construction of this new capability is a Capital Asset Project." Is this planned to be included in the contract as an IDIQ CLIN item? Will DOE confirm if this will be managed in compliance with DOE O 413.3b?	The DUF4 scope in C.5.4.1 has been removed via Amendment 0002 and DUF4 has been added to C.10, Additional Assignments under the IDIQ CLINs.
105	C.5.3.7.2	Conversion Product Management	We assume that this section does not include UF4 generation. Is this correct? Is there currently a plan to manage UF4 that is generated? If so, would DOE please provide a copy?	Yes; it is correct. UF4 generation is not addressed in Section C.5.3.7.2.  The DUF4 scope in C.5.4.1 has been removed via Amendment 0002 and DUF4 has been added to C.10, Additional Assignments under the IDIQ CLINs.
106	None	EMCBC Library	DOE provided information identified as CUI; however, we do not have any guidance on why this is CUI or what constitutes CUI. We are unclear on the proper procedures on using this information in developing our proposal to avoid creating a proposal that contains CUI. Would DOE please provide the classification guidance on what constitutes CUI in this regard or would constitute CUI in our final proposal?	DOE Order 471.7 was issued February 3, 2022. However, the OUO/CUI data that was released for the OSMS Procurement was identified and marked under DOE Order 471.3.  Typically Offerors proposals are OUO - Procurement Sensitive and protected as such. So if there was any CUI information in the proposal, it will be protected. Please refer to DOE Order 471.7 for guidance.
107	J-12	Crosswalk for Contract Baseline Development	Model contract section J-12 lists the Basis of Estimate as the primary driver of the contract baseline. As this is part of the model contract and not just a proposal preparation instruction, is it DOE's intent that this BOE structure be the driver of the contract baseline throughout contract performance? Please clarify.	Yes.

108	L.11(f)	Representations and Certifications	<p>Paragraph (3) indicates that the Offeror shall complete additional representations, certifications, or other statements required in this solicitation's Section K.7, Representations, Certifications, and Other Statements of the Offeror.</p> <p>Question: Should the reference to Section K.7 be noted as the entire Section K, Representations, Certifications, and Other Statements or is it limited to just Section K.7, Representation of Limited Rights Data and Restricted Computer Software?</p>	<p>Yes, L.11(f)(3) should have referenced the entire Section K. It is revised via Amendment 0002.</p>
109	L.8; L.11; Attach L-3; Attach L-10; G-8	<p>DUNS Numbers</p> <p>L.8 Intent to Bid / L.11 Prop Prep Instructions, Vol I / Attach L-3 Past Perf Ref Info Form / Attach L.10 List of DOE Contracts / G.8 Contractor Perf Assess Reporting</p>	<p>On April 4, 2022, the GSA transitioned from the DUNS Number to the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) for purposes of registering in SAM.gov. The OSMS final RFP identifies DUNS numbers as required data throughout the proposal.</p> <p>Question: If the new UEI number is available for an entity, is a DUNS number required (i.e., for the Offeror, subcontractors, past performance, etc.)?</p>	<p>L.8 and L.11 of the Final RFP require the DUNS and UEI. No change required.</p> <p>Section L, Attachments L-3 and L-10 is revised via Amendment 0002 to include the UEI in addition to the DUNS.</p> <p>Section G, G-8 is revised via Amendment 0002 to add UEI.</p>
110	Table B.2-1	CLIN 00001 Clarification	<p>Please clarify that DOE expects only proposed costs for PWS Section C.2.1 Incoming Transition to be included as proposed cost for CLIN 00001. Accordingly, please clarify which CLIN DOE expects to see proposed costs for PWS C.2.1 Outgoing Transition and Closeout.</p>	<p>DOE expects only proposed costs for PWS C.2.1 Incoming Transition to be included under CLIN 00001. DOE will provide further instruction regarding the costs for PWS C.2.2, Outgoing Transition and Closeout in a future amendment and will revise the L-6 Cost Worksheet as well.</p>
111	L.11(m)	Organizational Conflicts of Interest	<p>Based on the Section C requirements for the OSMS contractor to provide integration, leadership, and support to the D&amp;R contractor (i.e. OSMS FRFP Section C.4) at both PORTS and PAD, we are requesting DOE to provide clarification regarding if an OCI exists if an awardee is a contractor on the OSMS contract and also on an existing or future D&amp;R contract. In the past, DOE has avoided these potential OCIs by not allowing the contractor to be on both contracts (e.g., Hanford Mission Essential Services Contract, HMESC).</p>	<p>See Q&amp;A 37.</p>

112	B.2 and M.5	Fee Ceiling Percentages	The fourth paragraph of Section M.5 Evaluation Factor - Cost and Fee describes the composition of the evaluate price for the best value determination, there is a parenthetical phrase following reference to Table B.3-1 that states "(not to exceed maximum award fee of 10 percent specified in Section L)." There is no reference in Section L to a maximum award fee of 10 percent. Please confirm the maximum award fee for CPAF CLINS 00101, 00102, 00201, 00202, 00301 and 00302 is 10%, and revise Section L accordingly.	That is correct. The maximum award fee is 10%. An instruction has been added to Section L.17(e) via Amendment 00002.
113	L.17(j)(2) Table L-5 and Attachment L-6	Transition and Closeout	Section L.17(j)(2) states in Table L.5 that BOE 1 - Transition and Closeout includes both C.2.1 Transition and C.2.2 Closeout; however, the period of performance in L-6 BOE 1 is only for C.2.1 Transition. Please update L-6 consistent with the period of performance noted in C.2.1 Transition and C.2.2 Closeout.	See Q&A #110.
114	C.3.3.1.2	Contractor Performance Baseline	This section refers to "...the contract pricing. The BOE documents shall support the Contractor's price..." Is this a reference to the fixed priced task orders as part of the IDIQ CLIN(s) or should this be a reference to the contract cost for the cost reimbursable contract. Would DOE please clarify?	No. This is in reference to the total contract.  The proposal for this acquisition should have a BOE to support the CPB. Offerors pricing for the proposal is cost reimbursable. When task orders are issued against the IDIQ CLIN the type may vary (e.g. fixed price, cost reimbursable, etc.).
115	Attachment L-3	Past Performance Reference Information Form (PPRIF)	Regarding Attachment L-3, Past Performance Reference Information Form, the RFP limits the response to seven pages for each reference contract. Would DOE consider providing Offeror's with eight pages for the PPRIFs in order to specifically explain relevancy for similar commercial and/or non-DOE work in relation to size, scope, and complexity in this PWS? Unlike the previous DUF6 operations contract, the OSMS contract contains the Mission Support Services from three, possibly four additional contractors requiring additional discussions in the PPRIFs.	No. Per the Final RFP note referenced on the Attachment L-3 form:  Note: The Offeror may amend the format for Attachment L-3, Past Performance Reference Information Form, as long as the exact information, font and size (per DOE-L-2001), and page limitations are followed.